arXiv:1701.08733v1 [math.NT] 30 Jan 2017

ON SLOPES OF L-FUNCTIONS OF $\mathbb{Z}_p$-COVERS OVER THE PROJECTIVE LINE

MICHIEL KOSTERS AND HUI JUNE ZHU

ABSTRACT. Let $P : \cdots \to C_2 \to C_1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover of the projective line over a finite field of cardinality q and characteristic p which ramifies at exactly one rational point, and is unramified at other points. In this paper, we study the q-adic valuations of the reciprocal roots in $\mathbb{C}_p$ of L-functions associated to characters of the Galois group of P. We show that for all covers P such that the genus of C_n is a quadratic polynomial in p^n for n large, the valuations of these reciprocal roots are uniformly distributed in the interval $[0, 1]$. Furthermore, we show that for a large class of such covers P, the valuations of the reciprocal roots in fact form a finite union of arithmetic progressions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Setup 1
1.2. Genus stability and slope uniformity 2
1.3. Slope stability 3
1.4. Approach 3
1.5. Open problems 4
1.6. Acknowledgments 5
2. Artin-Hasse exponential 5
3. Genus stable $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covers 6
4. Extrapolating L-functions 7
4.1. The π-adic valuation 7
4.2. The π-adic L-function 9
5. Upper and lower bounds on $C^*(\chi, s)$ 10
6. Slope uniformity 12
7. Slope stability 13
Appendix A. Dwork's theory 16
References 20

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Setup.** Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of cardinality $q = p^a$ with p prime. Let $C_0 = \mathbb{P}^1_k$ be the projective line over k. Let $P : \cdots \to C_2 \to C_1 \to C_0$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover of smooth projective geometrically irreducible curves over k. This means that $\mathrm{Gal}(C_i \to C_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}$. We identify $\mathrm{Gal}(\cdots \to C_2 \to C_1 \to C_0)$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p$. In this

Date: January 31, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T23 (primary), 11L07, 13F35, 11R58.

paper we always assume that the tower is ramified at exactly one rational point, and is unramified at other points. Without loss of generality, we assume this point to be ∞. By $|\mathbb{P}^1_k|$ we denote the set of closed points of the projective line. For $x \in |\mathbb{P}^1_k| \setminus \{\infty\} = |\mathbb{A}^1_k|$ we denote by $\mathrm{Frob}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ its Frobenius element.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ be the ring of integers of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^*$ be a non-trivial character of conductor (order) p^{m_χ} for some $m_\chi \geq 1$. We consider the L-function

$$L(\chi, s) = \prod_{x \in |\mathbb{A}^1_k|} \frac{1}{1 - \chi(\mathrm{Frob}(x)) s^{\deg(x)}} \in 1 + s\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p[[s]] \subset \mathbb{C}_p[[s]].$$

By the Weil Conjectures, $L(\chi, s)$ is a polynomial ([1, Theorem A]). We can write the polynomial $L(\chi, s)$ as

$$L(\chi, s) = \prod_{i=1}^{\deg(L(\chi,s))} (1 - \alpha_{\chi,i} s),$$

where $\alpha_{\chi,i} \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p$. By v_q we denote the valuation on $\mathbb{C}_p$ normalized by $v_q(q) = 1$. By the Weil conjectures, one has $0 \leq v_q(\alpha_{\chi,i}) \leq 1$ and the leading term of $L(\chi, s)$ has q-valuation $\deg(L(\chi, s))/2$ (by the functional equation they come in pairs which multiply to q; [1, Theorem A]). We would like to understand the distribution of the $v_q(\alpha_{\chi,i})$ in the interval $[0, 1]$. One can easily show that the set of $v_q(\alpha_{\chi,i})$ only depends on m_χ, and not on the choice of χ.

1.2. **Genus stability and slope uniformity.** We will first discuss two properties of P.

Definition 1.1. We say that P is *genus stable* if the degree of $L(\chi, s)$ is a linear polynomial in p^{m_χ} for large enough m_χ.

One can show that P is genus stable if and only if the genus of C_n is a quadratic polynomial in p^n for large enough n (see [5, Proposition 5.5] for a proof and further discussions). The genus is always bounded below by a quadratic polynomial in p^n, so genus stable covers are covers for which the genus grows very slowly. In general, the genus of C_n can grow arbitrarily fast. If P is not genus stable, not much is currently known on the behavior of the slopes of the corresponding L-functions.

Definition 1.2. We say that the tower P is *slope uniform* if the following holds. For every interval $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$ one has

$$\lim_{m_\chi \to \infty} \frac{\#\{i = 1, 2, \ldots, \deg(L(\chi, s)) : \ v_q(\alpha_{\chi,i}) \in [a, b]\}}{\deg(L(\chi, s))} = b - a$$

where the limit is over any finite non-trivial characters χ of conductor m_χ.

Intuitively, slope uniformity means that the q-adic valuations of the $\alpha_{\chi,i}$ for a character χ with conductor p^{m_χ} approach a uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$ when m_χ goes to infinity. Our first main result is the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that P is genus stable. Then P is slope uniform.*

Actually, we prove a stronger statement about the distribution of the $v_q(\alpha_{\chi,i})$. See Theorem 6.1.

1.3. **Slope stability.** We will now study a much stronger property of P.

Definition 1.4. We say that P is *slope stable* if there exists an integer $m' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that the following holds. Let χ_0 be a character with $m_{\chi_0} = m'$. Then for every character χ with $m_\chi \geq m'$ the multiset $\{v_q(\alpha_{\chi,i}) : i = 1, 2, \ldots, \deg(L(\chi, s))\}$ is equal to the multiset

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{p^{m_\chi - m'}-1} \left\{ \frac{i}{p^{m_\chi - m'}}, \frac{v_q(\alpha_{\chi_0,1}) + i}{p^{m_\chi - m'}}, \frac{v_q(\alpha_{\chi_0,2}) + i}{p^{m_\chi - m'}}, \ldots, \frac{v_q(\alpha_{\chi_0,\deg(L(\chi_0,s))}) + i}{p^{m_\chi - m'}} \right\} - \{0\}.$$

In the literature (see [4] for example), *slope stable* is commonly described as *forming a finite union of arithmetic progressions*. In the rest of the paper we write *m'-slope stable* when we want to emphasize m'.

We remark that slope stable implies genus stable. Indeed, if P is slope stable, then for $m_\chi \geq m_{\chi_0}$ the L-function $\deg(L(\chi, s)) = (\deg L(\chi_0, s) + 1)p^{m_\chi - m_{\chi_0}} - 1$ is a linear polynomial in p^{m_χ}, hence P is genus stable.

We list some recent progress in the direction of our next result in Theorem 1.7 below. In order to do so, we first discuss a way to represent our tower P.

Any $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover P can be explicitly given by $f_0, f_1, \ldots, \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ with $p \nmid \deg(f_i)$ using Witt-vector equations using Artin-Schreier-Witt theory (see Section 3 for details). The main theorem of [4, Theorem 1.2] can be phrased in the following way:

Theorem 1.5 (Davis-Wan-Xiao)**.** *Assume that $f_i = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Then P is slope stable.*

This result was generalized by [6, Theorem 1] as follows:

Theorem 1.6 (Li)**.** *Assume that $\{\deg(f_i) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ is bounded. Then P is slope stable.*

The cover P is genus stable if and only if $\delta(P) := \max\left\{\frac{\deg(f_i)}{p^i} : i = 0, 1, \ldots\right\}$ exists (see Section 3). In that case, one has $\deg(f_i) \leq \delta p^i$ for all i. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.7. *Fix $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. There is a constant $C = C(\delta, q) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that for any P genus stable with $\delta = \delta(P)$ and $\deg(f_i) \leq \delta p^i - C$ for all i large enough, P is slope stable.*

First of all Theorem 1.7 implies Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.5 above. The proof of Theorem 1.7 can be found in Section 7. Our C in Theorem 1.7 is explicit and quite small. It is still an open problem whether genus stability is equivalent to slope stability, equivalently, whether we can take $C = 0$ in Theorem 1.7.

As an intermediate result, we also study how much the L-functions depend on the defining equations, the f_i, of the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover. We prove that many coefficients of the f_i do not influence the Newton polygon of the various L-functions (Theorem 7.4).

1.4. **Approach.** Let us discuss the approaches for studying these L-functions of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-towers.

In [7] the T-adic L-function of P, $L(T, s) \in \mathbb{C}_p[[T]][[s]]$, was introduced. This function specializes to $L(\chi, s)$ for a certain value for T depending on the character

$\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^*$. The authors of [4] study the T-adic Newton polygon $L(T, s)$ as follows. They construct an upper and lower bound on the T-adic Newton polygon of a function similar to $L(T, s)$. The upper bounds come from the Weil conjectures, whereas the lower bounds come from Dwork's trace formula. These Newton polygons touch at various points, and this turns out to be enough to prove their main theorem. The author of [6] improves the approach of [7] by taking into account both the p-adic and T-adic valuation of coefficients of $L(T, s)$.

In our approach, we construct a π-adic L-function, in infinitely many variables (there is a variable for each coefficient of the defining polynomials f_i), which specializes to $L(T, s)$ and to the $L(\chi, s)$. This function behaves much better than $L(T, s)$, since it incorporates special p-adic properties of elements of p-th power order in $\mathbb{C}_p^*$. Furthermore, we pick a topology on the ring this function lives in which reflects the structure of L-functions much better. We then follow a similar approach as in [4] to prove our results.

1.5. **Open problems.** We would like to state some open problems regarding $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covers of a curve over a finite field in characteristic p.

Problem 1. Generalize the results of this paper to any $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover of the projective line. For example, it is easy to do this when only 2 rational points are ramified. One should be able to solve this problem by using the methods of [9].

Problem 2. Generalize the results to $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covers of curves other than the projective line. This seems to be quite tricky, since one needs a better trace formula.

Problem 3. Is genus stability equivalent to slope stability? It might be possible to improve the results from this paper, or it might be the case that there are genus stable $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covers which are not slope stable.

Problem 4. Let P be a non genus stable cover. Note that P is not slope stable. Is P slope uniform? It might be possible to apply our techniques when the genus of C_n is bounded above by a quadratic polynomial in p^n ('almost genus stable'). Almost genus stability is equivalent to saying that the set $\{\deg(f_i)/p^i : i = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ has a supremum. It seems that our theory has no handle on other cases.

Problem 5. Set $K = k(X)$. There are various constructions in algebraic geometry, for example through étale cohomology, which give rise to continuous Galois representations

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \to \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^*.$$

For $p = 2$, one has $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, and for $p > 2$, one has $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \cong \mathbb{F}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence such representations give rise to continuous morphisms $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \to \mathbb{Z}_p$, which if non-trivial, correspond to $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covers of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$. Are $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covers obtained in this way genus stable/slope stable or slope uniform? It seems to be true in certain cases (see [3]).

Problem 6. Assume that P is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover given by $f \in W(k(X))$ (see Section 3). Then for $c \in \mathbb{Z}_q$, one can consider the 'twisted' $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover given by cf. Let χ be a non-trivial character of finite order. Are the q-adic Newton polygons of $L_f(\chi, s)$ and $L_{cf}(\chi, s)$ the same? In [8], Ren, Wan, Xiao and Yu show that these Newton polygons are similar, but it is unknown even for χ of conductor p if these Newton polygons are actually the same.

Problem 7. Find an efficient algorithm for computing $L(\chi, s)$ or the q-adic Newton polygon of $L(\chi, s)$ for a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover and a finite non-trivial character χ.

Problem 8. Let P be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover. What can one say about the complex numbers $\alpha_{\chi,i}$ when $m_\chi \to \infty$? One can write $\alpha_{\chi,i} = q^{1/2}e^{2\pi i\theta_{\chi,i}}$ with $\theta_{\chi,i} \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \deg(L(\chi, s))$. Is the multiset $\{\theta_{\chi,i} : i = 1, \ldots, \deg(L(\chi, s))\}$ uniformly distributed in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ when $m_\chi \to \infty$?

1.6. **Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank Daqing Wan for introducing us to this topic, for his suggestions and for his interesting problems and conjectures.

2. Artin-Hasse exponential

Define the Artin-Hasse exponential by the formal power series

$$E(T) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^{p^i}}{p^i}\right) \in 1 + T + T^2\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[T]]$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the localization of $\mathbb{Z}$ at the prime p (one easily obtains $E(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[[T]]$, and it is well-known that $E(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[T]]$). Let S be either $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, $\mathbb{Z}_p$ or $\mathbb{F}_p$. We can view $E(T)$ as an element of $S[[T]]$.

Lemma 2.1. *For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ the map*

$$E(\cdot) : T^iS[[T]] \to 1 + T^iS[[T]]$$

is a bijection. Furthermore, for any $s \in S$ this map sends $sT^i + T^{i+1}S[[T]]$ to $1 + sT^i + T^{i+1}S[[T]]$.

Proof. One easily sees that the maps are defined. Let $s \in 1 + T^iS[[T]]$. We show by induction that for $j \geq i$ there is a $h_j \in T^iS[[T]]$, unique modulo $T^jS[[T]]$, such that $E(h_j) - s \in T^jS[[T]]$. For $i = j$, the statement holds. Assume that the statement holds for j. Then there is $h_j \in T^iS[[T]]$, unique modulo $T^jS[[T]]$, with $E(h_j) - s - cT^j \in T^{j+1}S[[T]]$ for some $c \in S$. Then one has $E(h_j - dT^j) - s - (c - d)T^j \in T^{j+1}S[[T]]$ and hence only for $c = d$ one has $E(h_j - cT^j) - s \in T^{j+1}S[[T]]$. Hence we have to set $h_{j+1} = h_j - cT^j$, modulo $T^{j+1}S[[T]]$. The first result follows. The proof of the second result is easy. □

Define $\pi_i(T) \in T\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by Lemma 2.1:

$$E(\pi_i(T)) = (1 + T)^{p^i}.$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $v_p(x) > 0$. Then for all $i \geq 0$ one has, $v_p(\pi_i(x)) > 0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1, one has $E(x) - 1 \in x\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ and hence

$$v_p(\pi_i(x)) = v_p(E(\pi_i(x)) - 1) = v_p((1 + x)^{p^i} - 1). \tag{1}$$

Below we shall study $v_p(\pi_i(x))$ via this equality.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $x = 1 + \zeta_{p^m} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ where ζ_{p^m} is a primitive p^m-th root of unity. Then one has*

$$v_p(\pi_i(x)) = p^i v_p(x)$$

for $0 \leq i < m$ and $\pi_i(x) = 0$ for $i \geq m$.

Proof. For $0 \leq i < m$, we have $v_p(\zeta_{p^m}^{p^i} - 1) = p^i v_p((\zeta_{p^m} - 1)$; hence by (1) we have $v_p(\pi_i(x)) = v_p(\zeta_{p^m}^{p^i} - 1) = p^i v_p(x)$. For $i > m$, $E(\pi_i(x)) = \zeta_{p^m}^{p^i} - 1 = 0$, hence $\pi_i(x) = 0$ by the bijection of Lemma 2.1. □

A lemma as above does not hold for other $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$. This has as a consequence that later results only hold for characters of finite order. See Remark 7.7 for more details.

We will now analyze the reduction of $\pi_i(T)$ modulo p.

Lemma 2.3. *For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ one has*

$$\pi_i(T) \in T^{p^i}(1 + T\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]) + p\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]].$$

Proof. The following diagram commutes, where the vertical maps are the natural projections:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] & \xrightarrow{E(\cdot)} & 1 + T\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_2} \\ T\mathbb{F}_p[[T]] & \xrightarrow{E(\cdot)} & 1 + T\mathbb{F}_p[[T]]. \end{array}$$

Hence we find

$$E(\tau_1(\pi_i(T)) = \tau_2(E(\pi_i(T))) = \tau_2\left((1+T)^{p^i}\right) = 1 + T^{p^i}.$$

From Lemma 2.1 one obtains $\tau_1(\pi_i(T)) \in T^{p^i}(1 + T\mathbb{F}_p[[T]])$. The result follows. □

3. Genus stable $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covers

Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of cardinality $q = p^a$. By $\mathbb{F}_{q^i}$ we denote the extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree i in a fixed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$. We let $\mathbb{Z}_q$ be the ring of integers of the unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $K = k(X)$, the function field of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$. We are interested in studying $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covers of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$. Such a cover is also called an Artin-Schreier-Witt cover. We restrict without loss of generality to $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covers which ramify only at ∞, and which are totally ramified at ∞. By $W(K)$ we denote the p-typical Witt-vectors of K (see [5] for an introduction on Witt vectors). We use the symbol [ ] to represent the Teichmüller map. Such a tower can be given (almost uniquely) by

$$f = f(X) = c_0 + \sum_{i \geq 1, (i,p)=1} c_i [X]^i \in W(K)$$

with $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ and $v_p(c_i) \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$ and $\min\{v_p(c_i) : (i,p) = 1\} = 0$ (see [5, Proposition 4.3]). Let $P : \ldots \to C_2 \to C_1 \to C_0 = \mathbb{P}^1_k$ be the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover. More concretely, in terms of function fields one has $k(C_i) = K(y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1})$ where $y = (y_0, y_1, \ldots) \in W(\overline{K})$ is such that $(y_0^p, y_1^p, \ldots) - (y_0, y_1, \ldots) = f \in W(K)$ (see [5]). We identify the Galois group of P and $\mathbb{Z}_p$ by the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Gal}(P) = \mathrm{Gal}(K(y_0, y_1, \ldots,)/K) &\to \mathbb{Z}_p \\ \sigma &\mapsto \sigma y - y. \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in |\mathbb{A}^1_k|$ one has ([5, Lemma 5.8])

$$\mathrm{Frob}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q^{\deg(x)}}/\mathbb{Z}_p}\left(c_0 + \sum_{i\geq 1,(i,p)=1} c_i[x']^i\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

where $x' \in \overline{k}$ is any representative of x.

The conductor of $C_{m'} \to C_0$ satisfies ([5, Proposition 4.14])

$$\mathfrak{f}(C_{m'} \to C_0) = \max\left\{1 + ip^{m_\chi - v_p(c_i)-1} : i \geq 1, (i,p) = 1,\ v_p(c_i) < m'\right\} \cdot \infty.$$

Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^*$ be a finite character. By the Weil Conjectures (see [1, Theorem A] and [5, Proposition 4.14]) $L(\chi, s)$ is a polynomial of degree

$$\deg(L(\chi,s)) = -1 + p^{m_\chi - 1}\max\left\{\frac{i}{p^{v_p(c_i)}} : i \geq 1, (i,p) = 1,\ v_p(c_i) < m_\chi\right\}.$$

There are unique polynomials

$$f_i = \sum_j a_{ij}X^j \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$$

such that

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i \sum_j [a_{ij}][X]^j, \tag{2}$$

where [ ] denotes the Teichmüller lift. Write $d_i = \deg(f_i)$. One has $a_{ij} = 0$ if $j \in p\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $\deg(f_0) \geq 1$. The tower P is genus stable if and only if the set $\left\{\frac{d_i}{p^i} : i = 0, 1, \dots\right\}$ has a maximum δ, and in that case there is a unique m with

$$\delta = \frac{d_m}{p^m} = \max\left\{\frac{d_i}{p^i} : i = 0, 1, \dots\right\}.$$

Assume from now on that P is genus stable with the above notation. One has $\deg(f_i) \leq \delta p^i$. Furthermore, for $m' > m$ one has $\mathfrak{f}(C_{m'} \to C_0) = (1 + d_m p^{m'-m-1})\infty$. and for a character χ with $m_\chi > m$ one has

$$\deg(L(\chi,s)) = d_m p^{m_\chi - m - 1} - 1 = \delta p^{m_\chi - 1} - 1.$$

For the rest of the paper we assume that we are given a genus stable $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover P of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ with $\delta = d_m/p^m$ and coefficients (a_{ij}), introduced in this section.

4. Extrapolating L-functions

4.1. **The π-adic valuation.** In this section we will introduce a ring R with valuation for a given genus stable P with δ. Set

$$\mathfrak{X} = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0} : j \leq \delta p^i = d_m p^{i-m},\ j \notin p\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}.$$

Consider the formal power series ring

$$R = \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi_x : x \in \mathfrak{X}]].$$

Let $U := \{u : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : u(x) = 0 \text{ for almost all } x\}$, which is monoid under addition. For each $u \in U$ we set

$$\pi^u = \prod_{x\in\mathfrak{X}} \pi_x^{u(x)}.$$

Any $r \in R$ can now be written in the form $r = \sum_{u \in U} a_u \pi^u$ where $a_u \in \mathbb{Z}_q$. For $u \in U$ we set

$$w(u) = \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathfrak{X}} j \cdot u\left((i,j)\right) \in \frac{1}{\delta}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

For $r = \sum_u a_u \pi^u \in R \setminus \{0\}$ we set

$$v(r) := \min\{w(u) : a_u \neq 0\} \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\delta}.$$

We set $v(0) := \infty$. Note that $v(\pi_{(i,j)}) = \frac{j}{\delta}$. For $(i,j) \in \mathfrak{X}$ we set

$$\Delta_{(i,j)} := p^i - v(\pi_{(i,j)}).$$

One has $\Delta_{(i,j)} = p^i - \frac{j}{\delta} \leq p^i$. We will now prove a lower bound on $\Delta_{(i,j)}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. Then one has $\Delta_x \geq 0$ with $\Delta_x = 0$ if and only if $x = (m, d_m)$.*

Proof. One has $\deg(f_i) \leq \delta p^i$ with equality if and only if $i = m$. Furthermore, one has $\Delta_{(i,j)} \geq p^i - \frac{\deg(f_i)}{\delta}$ with equality if and only if $j = \deg(f_i)$. The result then follows easily. □

It turns out that v induces a valuation on the quotient field $Q(R)$ of R.

Proposition 4.2. *The ring R is a domain. We can extend v to the quotient field $Q(R)$ of R by*

$$\begin{aligned} v : Q(R) &\to \mathbb{Q} \sqcup \{\infty\} \\ a/b &\mapsto v(a) - v(b). \end{aligned}$$

Then $(Q(R), v)$ is a valued field, that is, for $r, s \in Q(R)$ one has

$$v(r+s) \geq \min\{v(r), v(s)\} \quad \text{and} \quad v(rs) = v(r) + v(s).$$

Proof. We show that for $r, s \in R$ one has $v(r+s) \geq \min\{v(r), v(s)\}$ and $v(rs) = v(r) + v(s)$. The first property follows directly. For the second property, assume that $r, s \neq 0$. We first put a well order $\leq$ on $\mathfrak{X}$. We then put the following monomial order $\leq$ on R. We write $\pi^u < \pi^{u'}$ if $w(u) < w(u')$ or $w(u) = w(u')$ and for $x' = \min\{x \in \mathfrak{X} : u(x) \neq u'(x)\}$ we have $u(x') < u'(x')$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. If $\pi^{u_1} \leq \pi^{u_1'}$ and $\pi^{u_2} \leq \pi^{u_2'}$, then one has $\pi^{u_1 u_2} \leq \pi^{u_1' u_2'}$, making it a monomial order. Write $r = \sum_u a_u \pi^u$ and $s = \sum_u b_u \pi^u$. Then there are minimal terms, with respect to $\leq$, such that $a_{u_1}, b_{u_2} \neq 0$. Then $a_{u_1} b_{u_2} \pi^{u_1 + u_2}$ is the minimal term of rs and one gets $v(rs) = v(r) + v(s)$.

Since the second property holds, it follows that R is a domain. We extend the definition of v to $Q(R)$. Then it is easy to see that $(Q(R), v)$ is a valued field. □

We refer to v as the π-adic valuation on R and $Q(R)$ and we will use the notation v in the rest of the paper. Note that the valuation ring of v strictly contains R, since it contains expressions like $\frac{\pi_{(i,j)}}{\pi_{(i',j)}}$.

Definition 4.3. Let K' be a field and let $v' : K' \to \mathbb{R} \sqcup \{\infty\}$ be a valuation. Let $t \in K'$ with $v'(t) > 0$. We then normalize by setting $v'_t = v'/v'(t)$. Let $g = a_0 + a_1 s + a_2 s^2 + \ldots \in 1 + sK'[[s]]$. We then define t-adic *Newton polygon* of g to be the lower convex hull of the set of points $\{(i, v'_t(a_i) : i = 0, 1, \ldots\}$. The

slopes of such a polygon are the slopes of each of its width 1 segments, counted with multiplicity and put in increasing order. Let $g_1, g_2 \in K'[[s]]$. One sees, by for example extending the valuation to an algebraic closure of K', that the slopes of the Newton polygon of $g_1 g_2$ are just the ordered slopes of g_1 union those of g_2. Note that the only slope of the Newton polygon $1 - as$ is just $v_t'(a)$. In particular, if g is a polynomial, the slopes of its t'-adic Newton polygon correspond to the t'-adic valuation of the reciprocal roots of g.

In this paper, we will study two different types of Newton polygons. Recall that $(Q(R), v)$ is a value field valuation with respect to v. We define the π-adic Newton polygon of $g \in 1 + sQ(R)[[s]]$ with respect to this valuation v (without normalization). The field $(\mathbb{C}_p, v_p)$ is also a valued field. Hence for $g \in 1 + s\mathbb{C}_p[[s]]$ and x with $v_p(x) > 0$ we can consider the x-adic Newton polygon of g.

4.2. **The π-adic L-function.** For a given genus stable cover P over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with δ and coefficients $(a_{ij})_{(i,j)\in\mathfrak{X}}$, we introduce our π-adic L- and C-functions with coefficients in $R = \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi_{(i,j)} : (i,j) \in \mathfrak{X}]]$, which are deformation of classical p-adic and T-adic L- and C-functions, respectively. For any integer n, denote $\boldsymbol{\mu}_n := \{x \in \mathbb{C}_p : x^n = 1\}$.

Definition 4.4. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we set

$$S^*(k,\pi) = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*} \prod_{(i,j)\in\mathfrak{X}} E(\pi_{(i,j)})^{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Z}_p}([a_{ij}][x]^j)} \in R.$$

We define the π-adic L-functions of our $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover by

$$\begin{aligned} L^*(\pi,s) =& \exp\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S^*(k,\pi)\frac{s^k}{k}\Big) \\ =& \prod_{x\in|\mathbb{A}^1_k|\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{1 - s^{\deg(x)} \prod_{(i,j)\in\mathfrak{X}} E(\pi_{(i,j)})^{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Z}_p}([a_{ij}][x]^j)}} \in 1 + sR[[s]]; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$L(\pi,s) = \frac{L^*(T,s)}{1 - s^{\deg(x)} \prod_{(i,j)\in\mathfrak{X},\ j=0} E(\pi_{(i,j)})^{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Z}_p}([a_{ij}])}} \in 1 + sR[[s]].$$

We define the π-adic characteristic function by

$$C^*(\pi,s) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^k} S^*(k,\pi)\frac{s^k}{k}\right) \in 1 + sR[[s]].$$

One has

$$C^*(\pi,s) = L^*(\pi,s)L^*(\pi,qs)L^*(\pi,q^2s)\cdots, \text{ and } L^*(\pi,s) = \frac{C^*(\pi,s)}{C^*(\pi,qs)}.$$

We have a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ev}_T : R[[s]] \to& \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]][[s]] \\ s \mapsto& s \\ \pi_{(i,j)} \mapsto& \pi_i(T). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for $c \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $v_p(c) > 0$, one has a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ev}_c : \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]][[s]] &\to \mathbb{C}_p[[s]] \\ \sum_i a_i(T)s^i &\mapsto \sum_i a_i(c)s^i. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^*$ be a nontrivial character of conductor p^{m_χ}. Then $\pi_\chi := \chi(1) - 1 = \zeta_{p^{m_\chi}} - 1$.

Remark 4.5. Various specializations of our π-adic L function give known classical and T-adic L-functions. Most importantly, the classical L-function is given by

$$L(\chi, s) = \prod_{x \in |\mathbb{A}^1_k|} \frac{1}{1 - \chi(\mathrm{Frob}(x))s^{\deg(x)}} = \mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi} \circ \mathrm{ev}_T(L(\pi, s)).$$

The following functions are introduced in [4] to study $L(\chi, s)$:

$$\begin{aligned} S^*(k, T) &= \mathrm{ev}_T(S^*(k, \pi)), \\ L^*(T, s) &= \mathrm{ev}_T(L^*(\pi, s)), \\ C^*(T, s) &= \mathrm{ev}_T(C^*(\pi, s)). \end{aligned}$$

5. Upper and lower bounds on $C^*(\chi, s)$

We write $C^*(\pi, s) = \sum_i a_i s^i \in R[[s]]$ with $a_i \in R$. In this section, we will give upper and lower bounds on the π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\chi, s)$.

Proposition 5.1 (Hodge lower bound)**.** *The π-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\pi, s)$ lies above the polygon whose slopes are*

$$0, \frac{a(p-1)}{\delta}, \frac{2a(p-1)}{\delta}, \ldots,$$

that is, above the polygon with vertices $(k, \frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2\delta})$ (for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$).

Proof. See Appendix. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^*$ be a non-trivial character of finite order. Then the following hold.*

i) *The Newton π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\chi, s)$ lies above the π-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\pi, s)$.*
ii) *Let $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$. One has $v_{\pi_\chi}\left(\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi} \circ \mathrm{ev}_T(r)\right) \geq v(r)$ with equality if and only if the following hold:*
   - *$m_\chi > m$ or $v(r) = 0$;*
   - *$r = c \cdot \pi^e_{(m,d_m)} + (\text{other terms})$ with $c \in \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ and $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $v(r) = v(\pi^e_{(m,d_m)})$.*

Proof. We shall prove Part ii) first. Note that for $(i, j) \in \mathfrak{X}$ one has (by Lemma 2.2):

$$v_{\pi_\chi}(\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi} \circ \mathrm{ev}_T(\pi_{(i,j)})) = v_{\pi_\chi}(\pi_i(\pi_\chi)) \geq p^i v_{\pi_\chi}(\pi_\chi) = p^i = v(\pi_{(i,j)}) + \Delta_{(i,j)}.$$

One then finds for any nonzero $r = \sum_{u\in U} c_u\pi^u$ in R:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\pi_\chi}(\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi}\circ \mathrm{ev}_T(r)) \geq & \min\{v_{\pi_\chi}(a_u) + v_{\pi_\chi}(\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi}\circ \mathrm{ev}_T(\pi^u)) : u\in U,\ c_u\neq 0\} \\ \geq & \min\{v_{\pi_\chi}(\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi}\circ \mathrm{ev}_T(\pi^u)) : u\in U,\ c_u\neq 0\} \\ \geq & \min\{v(\pi^u) + \sum_{x\in\mathfrak{X}} u(x)\Delta_x : u\in U,\ c_u\neq 0\} \\ \geq & \min\{v(\pi^u) : u\in U,\ c_u\neq 0\} = v(r). \end{aligned}$$

The first result follows: We shall check when the above equalities holds. The last equality holds if and only if there is a u' with $c_{u'}\neq 0$ with $v(\pi^{u'}) = v(r)$ and $\pi^{u'} = \pi^e_{(m,d_m)}$ for some $e\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by Lemma 4.1 (this e is unique). The third equality holds if and only if in addition one has $m_\chi > m$ or $e = 0$ (that is, $v(r) = 0$; see Lemma 2.2). The second also holds if and only if in addition one has $c_{u'}\in\mathbb{Z}_q^*$. If this is the case, then the first equality automatically holds (all other terms will have higher valuation). The result follows.

i) This follows from ii), since $C^*(\chi, s) = \mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi}\circ \mathrm{ev}_T(C^*(\pi, s))$. □

Proposition 5.3 (Upper bound from specialization)**.** *The π-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\pi, s)$ contains the line segment from $(k, \frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2\delta})$ to $(k+1, \frac{a(p-1)k(k+1)}{2\delta})$ if $d_m|k$. For $k\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{d_m}$, $C^*(\pi, s) = \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k s^k$ has*

$$a_k = r_k\cdot \pi_{(m,d_m)}^{\frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2d_m}} + (\textit{other terms})$$

with $r_k\in\mathbb{Z}_q^*$.

Proof. Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p\to\mathbb{C}_p^*$ be a character with $m_\chi = m+1$. The lower bound from Proposition 5.1 holds for the π_χ-adic Newton polygon $C^*(\chi, s)$ by Lemma 5.2. Consider the L-function $L(\chi, s)$, which is a polynomial of degree $d_m - 1$ with constant term 1. Note that we have $v_{\pi_\chi}(q) = a\varphi(p^{m+1})$. From the Weil conjectures it then follows that the leading term of $L(\chi, s)$ has π_χ-valuation $\frac{(d_m-1)}{2}\cdot a(p-1)p^m$. This shows that the π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $L(\chi, s)$ lies below the polygon with vertices $(0, 0)$ and

$$(d_m - 1, \frac{(d_m-1)a(p-1)p^m}{2}).$$

Note that

$$L^*(\chi, s) = (1-\chi(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}_q/\mathbb{Z}_p}(f(0))s)\cdot L(\chi, s),$$

and that $(1-\chi(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}_q/\mathbb{Z}_p}(f(0))s)$ just contributes a segment of slope 0. Hence the π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $L^*(\chi, s)$ lies below the polygon with a slope 0 segment followed by $d_m - 1$ segments of slope $\frac{a(p-1)p^m}{2}$. Note that

$$C^*(\chi, s) = L^*(\chi, s)L^*(\chi, qs)L^*(\chi, q^2s)\cdots.$$

Hence the Newton polygon of $C^*(\chi, s)$ has as upper bound a polygon with a slope $0\cdot\frac{a(p-1)p^m}{2}$, then $d_m - 1$ segments of slope $\frac{a(p-1)p^m}{2}$, then a segment of slope $2\cdot\frac{a(p-1)p^m}{2}$, then $d_m - 1$ segments of slope $3\frac{a(p-1)p^m}{2}$ and so forth. The lower bound from Proposition 5.1 and this upper bound hold for both the π-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\pi, s)$ and for the π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\chi, s)$ by Lemma 5.2. One easily computes that these polygons coincide for $k\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{d_m}$. From the shape of the lower bound, it easily follows that the coefficients at such s^k of $C(\pi, s)$

respectively $C^*(\chi, s)$ have the same π-adic respectively π_χ-adic valuation, namely $\frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2\delta}$. By Lemma 5.2, the result on a_k follows. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^*$ be a finite character with $m_\chi > m$. Then the π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\chi, s)$ is lower bounded by the polygon with vertices*

$$\left(k, \frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2\delta}\right) \qquad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Furthermore, it is upper bounded by the polygon with vertices

$$\left(k, \frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2\delta}\right) \qquad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\ k \equiv 0, 1 \bmod d_m.$$

Proof. The first bound follows from Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.2. For $k \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{d_m}$, set $r = \frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2\delta}$. One has by Proposition 5.3 and by the lower bound

$$r \leq v_{\pi_\chi}(\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi} \circ \mathrm{ev}_T(a_k)) \leq v_{\pi_\chi}(\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi} \circ \mathrm{ev}_T(r_k \cdot \pi_{(m,d_m)}^{\frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2d_m}})) = r.$$

The result then follows. □

6. Slope uniformity

We shall now translate the results about $C^*(\chi, s)$ to $L(\chi, s)$ to the proof of Theorem 1.3 in this section. We obtain the following theorem (we follow the formulation of [8, Theorem 1.1]).

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^*$ be a non-trivial finite character of order p^{m_χ} with $m_\chi > m$. Then $L_f(\chi, s)$ is a polynomial of degree $\delta p^{m_\chi - 1} - 1$. Write*

$$L_f(\chi, s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\delta p^{m_\chi - 1} - 1} c_i s^i.$$

We have the following.

i. *For any $0 < n \leq p^{m_\chi - m - 1}$, we have*

$$v_q(c_{nd_m - 1}) = \frac{n(nd_m - 1)}{2p^{m_\chi - m - 1}} \text{ and } v_q(c_{nd_m}) = \frac{n(nd_m + 1)}{2p^{m_\chi - m - 1}}.$$

ii. *For any $0 < n \leq p^{m_\chi - m - 1}$, the q-adic Newton polygon of $L_f(\chi, s)$ passes through the points*

$$\left(nd_m - 1, \frac{n(nd_m - 1)}{2p^{m_\chi - m - 1}}\right) \text{ and } \left(nd_m, \frac{n(nd_m + 1)}{2p^{m_\chi - m - 1}}\right).$$

iii. *The q-adic Newton polygon of $L_f(\chi, s)$ has slopes (in multiset notation and in increasing order)*

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{p^{m_\chi - m - 1}} \{\alpha_{i1}, \alpha_{i2}, \ldots, \alpha_{id}\} - \{0\},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{ij} = \frac{i-1}{p^{m_\chi - m - 1}} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{i-1}{p^{m_\chi - m - 1}} < \alpha_{ij} < \frac{i}{p^{m_\chi - m - 1}} & \text{if } j > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \ldots \leq u_{d_m p^{m_\chi - m-1}-1}$ be the q-adic slopes of $L(\chi, s)$. The q-adic slopes of $L^*(\chi, s)$ are $0, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{d_m p^{m_\chi - m-1}-1}$. Hence the q-adic slopes of $C^*(\chi, s)$ are (in multiset notation)

$$\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \{i, u_1 + i, u_2 + i, \ldots, u_{d_m p^{m_\chi - m-1}-1} + i\}.$$

If we compare these with the obtained upper and lower bound in Theorem 5.4 and one normalizes properly, one obtains the result. □

Proof of theorem 1.3. The valuations $v_q(\alpha_{\chi,i})$ correspond to the slopes of the q-adic Newton polygon of $L(\chi, s)$ (see Definition 4.3). The result then follows easily from Theorem 6.1. □

7. Slope stability

In this section, we will show that many genus stable covers are slope stable. Our strategy is as follows. First we show that if $f_i = 0$ for large enough i, that the cover is slope stable. Then we show that in many cases we can reduce to the case $f_i = 0$ for large enough i, since many coefficients of the f_i do not influence the Newton polygon of $L(\chi, s)$.

Recall from the introduction that we call the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover P m'-slope stable with $m' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ if the following holds. Let χ_0 be a character with $m_{\chi_0} = m'$. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_{\deg(L(\chi_0, s))}$ denote the slopes of the q-adic Newton polygon of $L(\chi_0, s)$. Then for every character χ with $m_\chi \geq m'$ the q-adic Newton polygon of $L(\chi, s)$ has slopes (in multiset notation)

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{p^{m_\chi - m'}-1} \left\{ \frac{i}{p^{m_\chi - m'}}, \frac{u_1 + i}{p^{m_\chi - m'}}, \ldots, \frac{u_{\deg(L(\chi_0, s))} + i}{p^{m_\chi - m'}} \right\} - \{0\}.$$

Lemma 7.1. *The tower P is m'-slope stable if and only if P is genus stable with $\delta = \frac{d_m}{p^m}$ for some $m < m'$ and the π_χ-adic Newton polygon $C^*(\chi, s)$ does not depend on χ when $m_\chi \geq m'$.*

Proof. Let χ_0 be a character with $m_{\chi_0} = m'$. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_{\deg(L(\chi_0, s))}$ denote the slopes of the q-adic Newton polygon of $L(\chi_0, s)$. Set $t = a(p-1)p^{m'-1}$.

$\Longrightarrow$: Assume that P is m'-slope stable. Then P is genus stable with $\delta = \frac{d_m}{p^m}$ for some $m < m'$. One easily obtains $m < m'$. Furthermore, for χ a character with $m_\chi \geq m'$, since $v_{\pi_\chi}(q) = a(p-1)p^{m_\chi - 1}$. The polynomial $L^*(\chi, s)$ has q-adic slopes

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{p^{m_\chi - m'}-1} \left\{ \frac{i}{p^{m_\chi - m'}}, \frac{u_1 + i}{p^{m_\chi - m'}}, \ldots, \frac{u_{\deg(L(\chi_0, s))} + i}{p^{m_\chi - m'}} \right\}.$$

It follows $C^*(\chi, s) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} L^*(\chi, q^i s)$ has π_χ-adic slopes,

$$\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=0}^{p^{m_\chi - m'}-1} \left\{ (i + jp^{m_\chi - m'})t, (u_1 + i + jp^{m_\chi - m'}))t, \ldots, (u_{\deg(L(\chi_0, s))} + i + jp^{m_\chi - m'})t \right\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \{it, (u_1 + i)t, \ldots, (u_{\deg(L(\chi_0, s))} + i)t\}$$

The latter formula does not depend on χ and the implication follows.

$\Longleftarrow$: The proof is similar to that of [4, Theorem 1.2]. Let χ be a finite character with $m_\chi \geq m'$. Let $w_1, \ldots, w_{d_m p^{m_\chi - m}} \leq 1$ be the q-adic slopes of $L^*(\chi, s)$. Then the π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\chi, s)$ has slopes

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \{(w_1 + i)tp^{m_\chi - m'}, (w_2 + i)tp^{m_\chi - m'}, \ldots, (w_{d_m p^{m_\chi - m}} + i)tp^{m_\chi - m'}\}.$$

As these slopes are independent of character, this gives slopes for $\chi = \chi_0$, one hence obtains the slope stability. $\square$

Given a genus stable $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover P with δ, set

$$W = a(p-1)\frac{(d_m - 1)^2}{8\delta}.$$

Lemma 7.2. *The upper and lower bounds from Propositions 5.1 and 5.3 for the π-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\pi, s)$ differ by at most W. Also, for a finite character $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^*$ with $m_\chi > m$, the upper and lower bound for the π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\chi, s)$ from Theorem 5.4 differ by at most W.*

Proof. This is an easy calculation, see [4, Lemma 3.7]. $\square$

Proposition 7.3. *For any $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, suppose a genus stable $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover P has $f_i = 0$ for all $i > N$, then P is m'-slope stable for $m' = 1 + \lceil \log_p(\frac{p^N + W}{p-1}) \rceil$.*

Proof. If $W = 0$ then $d_m = 1$ and $m = 0$ (the converse is also true). The upper and lower bounds in Lemma 7.2 then completely coincide, so P is 1-slope stable. For the rest of the proof we assume $W > 0$.

Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^*$ be a character with $m_\chi \geq m'$. Hence by the choice of m' one has for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ $v_{\pi_\chi}(p) = \varphi(p^{m_\chi}) \geq p^N + W \geq p^i + W$. We have $\pi_i(T) = T^{p^i} h_i + p g_i$ with $h_i \in 1 + T\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ and $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ by Lemma 2.3. For $u \in U$ we set $w'(u) = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathfrak{X}} u((i,j)) \cdot p^i \geq w(u)$ (Lemma 4.1). For $u \in U$ with support on (i,j) with $i \leq N$ one finds $\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi} \circ \mathrm{ev}_T(\pi^u) = z\pi_\chi^{w'(u)} + O(\pi_\chi^{w'(u)+W})$ with $z \in \mathbb{C}_p^*$ with $v_{\pi_\chi}(z) = 0$. Note that $C^*(\pi, s)$ does not involve $\pi_{(i,j)}$ for $i > N$ since $f_i = 0$ for $i > N$. Recall $C^*(\pi, s) = \sum_i a_i s^i$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ it follows from the lower bound from Theorem 5.4 that

$$\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi} \circ \mathrm{ev}_T(a_i) = \sum_{j \geq \frac{a(p-1)i(i-1)}{2\delta}} \left(r_j \pi_\chi^j + O(\pi_\chi^{j+W}) \right)$$

where $r_j \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $r_j = 0$ or $v_{\pi_\chi}(r_j) = 0$. Whether r_j is zero or not only depends on $C^*(\pi, s)$, and not on χ. Since the difference between lower and upper bound is bounded by W (Lemma 7.2), we conclude that the π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\chi, s)$ does not depend on χ when $m_\chi \geq m'$: for each i, it only depends on the first j with $r_j \neq 0$ if such a j exists and if it is below the upper bound. The result follows from Lemma 7.1. $\square$

We will now study how much the Newton polygon of $C^*(\chi, s)$ depends on the coefficients a_{ij} that defines the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-cover P.

Theorem 7.4. *Let P and P' be two genus stable $\mathbb{Z}_p$-covers of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ with $\delta = \delta(P) = \delta(P') = \frac{d_m}{p^m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, defined by $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$ and $(a'_{ij})_{i,j}$ (respectively) as in Section 3. Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^*$ be a finite character with $m_\chi > m$. If $a_{ij} = a'_{ij}$ for all*

$(i,j) \in \{x \in \mathfrak{X} : \Delta_x < W\}$, then the π_χ-adic Newton polygons of $C^*(\chi, s)$ for these two covers are identical.

Proof. The upper and lower bound of the π-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\pi, s)$ and the π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\chi, s)$ differ by at most W by Lemma 7.2. If $(i,j) \in \mathfrak{X}$ with $\Delta_{(i,j)} \geq W$, one has

$$\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi} \circ \mathrm{ev}_T(\pi_{(i,j)}) = v_{\pi_\chi}(\pi_i(\pi_\chi)) \geq p^i = v(\pi_{(i,j)}) + \Delta_{(i,j)} \geq v(\pi_{(i,j)}) + W.$$

Hence terms in $C^*(\pi, s)$ involving such $\pi_{(i,j)}$ contribute to points above the upper bound of the π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\chi, s)$ (Proposition 5.1, Proposition 5.3, Theorem 5.4, Lemma 7.2). Hence the corresponding coefficient a_{ij} giving rise to $\pi_{(i,j)}$ are irrelevant. □

Theorem 7.5. *Assume $W > 0$. If*

$$N := \max\{i : \exists (i,j) \in \mathfrak{X} \text{ with } a_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ and } \Delta_{(i,j)} < W\}$$

exists, then P is m'-slope stable for $m' = 1 + \lceil \log_p(\frac{p^N + W}{p-1}) \rceil > m$.

Proof. Assume $W > 0$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^*$ be a character with $m_\chi > m'$. Then by Theorem 7.4, the π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $C^*(\pi_\chi, s)$ is equal to the one where we replace a_{ij} by zero for $i > N$. Then we apply Proposition 7.3. □

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Set $d_i = \deg(f_i) = \max(\{j : a_{ij} \neq 0\} \cup \{-\infty\})$. The condition in Theorem 7.5 is equivalent to the following. There exists an integer N such that for $i > N$ one has $p^i - \frac{d_i}{\delta} \geq W$, that is,

$$d_i \leq (p^i - W)\delta = \delta p^i - W\delta.$$

The result follows. □

Example 7.6. It is easy to construct genus stable $\mathbb{Z}_p$-towers for which Theorem 1.7 does not apply. For example take an integer $b > 1$ with $p \nmid b$ and consider the tower defined by

$$f = [X]^b + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p^i [X]^{bp^i - 1}.$$

One has $m = 0$ and $\delta = b$. Assume that $W > \frac{1}{b}$, which can be achieved by taking b large enough. For $i \geq 1$ one finds

$$\deg(f_i) = bp^i - 1 = \delta p^i - \frac{\delta}{b} > \delta p^i - W\delta.$$

Hence Theorem 1.7 is not able to tell if such cover is slope stable.

Remark 7.7. Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^*$ be a continuous character of infinite order. Such a character is completely determined by $\chi(1) \in \mathbb{C}_p^*$. Set $\pi_\chi = \chi(1) - 1$ and assume that $v_p(\pi_\chi) > 0$. What can one say about the π_χ-adic Newton polygon of $\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi} \circ \mathrm{ev}_T(C^*(\pi, s))$?

For $x \in \mathbb{C}_p$ with $v_p(x) > 0$ and $x + 1 \notin \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^\infty}$, one can show that there are $C(x) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and $M(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$v_p(\pi_i(x)) = v_p((x+1)^{p^i} - 1) = \begin{cases} p^i v_p(x-1) & \text{if } i < M(x) \\ C(x) + i & \text{if } i \geq M(x). \end{cases}$$

The main reason for this is the following. One has $(x+1)^p - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{j} x^j + x^p$. If the valuation of x is small, then the valuation of $(x+1)^p - 1$ is determined by the valuation of x^p. If the valuation of x is large, the valuation is determined by the valuation of the term px. See [2] for a more thorough treatment of the p-th power maps.

To π_χ we can associate C and M as above. The lower bound as in Theorem 5.4 holds if for all $(i,j) \in \mathfrak{X}$ with $a_{ij} \neq 0$ one has

$$v_{\pi_\chi}(\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi} \circ \mathrm{ev}_T(\pi_{(i,j)})) = v_{\pi_\chi}(\pi_i(\pi_\chi)) \geq v(\pi_{(i,j)}).$$

This condition is automatically satisfied if $i < M$. For $i \geq M$, if $a_{ij} = 0$, one needs

$$(C+i) v_{\pi_\chi}(p) \geq v(\pi_{(i,j)}) = \frac{j}{\delta}.$$

In other words, for $i \geq M$ one needs

$$\deg(f_i) \leq \delta(C+i) v_{\pi_\chi}(p).$$

For the upper bound as in Theorem 5.4 to hold, in addition we need

$$v_{\pi_\chi}(\mathrm{ev}_{\pi_\chi} \circ \mathrm{ev}_T(\pi_{(m,d_m)}) = v_{\pi_\chi}(\pi_m(\pi_\chi)) = p^m = v_\pi(\pi_{(m,d_m)}).$$

The latter is equivalent to $m \leq M$. Hence both upper and lower bounds seem to hold for much smaller classes of towers. This gives us reason to believe that purely with $L(T,s)$ one can prove statements only when $\deg(f_i)$ is at most linear in i.

Appendix A. Dwork's theory

We prove Proposition 5.1 at the end of this section. Most of our arguments follow that in [7], though there are a couple of small subtle differences, and hence we decided to provide all details. For convenience of the reader, this section is largely self-contained.

Recall $R = \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi_x : x \in \mathfrak{X}]]$ with valuation $v(-)$ defined in Section 4. Consider an extension

$$R' = \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi_x : x \in \mathfrak{X},\ x \neq (m, d_m)]]((\pi_{(m,d_m)}^{1/d_m}))$$

of R. We extend the valuation v to R' in the obvious way, with $v(\pi_{(m,d_m)}^{1/d_m}) = \delta$. Furthermore, we set

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 =& \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi_x : x \in \mathfrak{X}]] \subseteq R \\ R_0' =& \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi_x : x \in \mathfrak{X},\ x \neq (m, d_m)]]((\pi_{(m,d_m)}^{1/d_m})) \subseteq R'. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_q/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ where σ is the Frobenius element. We let G act on R' by

$$\sigma(\sum_u a_u \pi^u) = \sum_u \sigma(a_u) \pi^u.$$

Note that for $r \in R'$ one has $v(r) = v(\sigma(r))$. We extend this to an action of G on $B = R'[[X]]$, by only acting on the coefficients.

Lemma A.1 (Commutativity)**.** *We have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{q-1} \sqcup \{0\} & \xrightarrow{E(\cdot T)} & \mathbb{Z}_q[[T]] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}_q/\mathbb{Z}_p}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Norm}_{\mathbb{Z}_q[[T]]/\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]}} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p-1} \sqcup \{0\} & \xrightarrow{E(T)^{\cdot}} & \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]. \end{array}$$

Proof. For $x \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_{q-1}$ one has $\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} x^{p^j} = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} x^{p^{j+i}}$. Hence one has

$$\begin{aligned} E(T)^{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}_q/\mathbb{Z}_p}} =& E(T)^{x+x^p+\ldots+x^{p^{a-1}}} = \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^{p^i}}{p^i} \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} x^{p^{j+i}}\right) \\ =& E(Tx)E(Tx^p)\cdots E(Tx^{p^{a-1}}) = \mathrm{Norm}_{\mathbb{Z}_q[[T]]/\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]}(E(Tx)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall $f_i = \sum_{0 \le j \le \delta p^i} a_{ij} X^j$ and $\delta = \frac{d_m}{p^m} \ge 1$. For $(i,j) \in \mathfrak{X}$ set

$$E_{f,(i,j)} = E(\pi_{(i,j)}[a_{ij}]X^j) \in R[[X]].$$

We set

$$E_f(X) = \prod_{x \in \mathfrak{X}} E_{f,x} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k X^k \in R[[X]].$$

where $b_k \in R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi_x : x \in \mathfrak{X}]]$. The reason for the definition of v is to minimize the 'error' in the following lemma.

Lemma A.2. *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ one has*

$$v(b_k) \ge \frac{k}{\delta}.$$

Proof. This follows easily, since $v(\pi_{(i,k)}) = \frac{k}{\delta}$. □

Lemma A.3 (Dwork's splitting lemma)**.** *For $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ one has*

$$\prod_{(i,j)\in\mathfrak{X}} E(\pi_{(i,j)})^{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Z}_p}([a_{ij}][x]^j)} = \prod_{l=0}^{ak-1} E_f^{\sigma^l}([x]^{p^l}) \in R$$

Proof. For $(i,j) \in \mathfrak{X}$ on has by Lemma A.1:

$$E(\pi_{(i,j)})^{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Z}_p}([a_{ij}][x]^j)} = \prod_{l=0}^{ak-1} E(\pi_{(i,j)}([a_{ij}][x]^j)^{p^l}) = \prod_{l=0}^{ak-1} E_{f,(i,j)}^{\sigma^l}([x]^{p^l}).$$

If one takes the product of $(i,j) \in \mathfrak{X}$, one gets the result. □

Consider $B = R'[[X]]$, with its G-action. This is a free Banach R'-module with basis

$$\Gamma = \{\pi_{(m,d_m)}^{i/d_m} X^i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\}.$$

We define the R'-linear operator ψ_p by

$$\psi_p(\sum_{i\ge 0} c_i X^i) = \sum_{i \ge 0} c_{pi} X^i.$$

Furthermore, we consider $r \in B$ as a linear operator

$$\begin{aligned} T(r) : B &\to B \\ h &\mapsto rh. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\psi = \sigma^{-1} \circ \psi_p \circ T(E_f(X)),$$

which itself is R_0'-linear, but generally not R'-linear. For $r(X), s(X) \in B$ one easily obtains

$$T(r(X)) \circ \psi_p = \psi_p \circ T(r(X^p))$$

and

$$\sigma^{-1} \circ T(r(X)) = T(r^\sigma(X)) \circ \sigma^{-1}$$

and $T(r) \circ T(s) = T(rs)$. Furthermore, σ^{-1} and ψ_p commute. For any integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we find

$$\psi^k = \sigma^{-k} \circ \psi_p^k \circ T\left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} E_f^{\sigma^i}(X^{p^i})\right).$$

In particular, we see that ψ^a is linear and satisfies

$$\psi^a = \psi_p^a \circ T\left(\prod_{i=0}^{a-1} E_f^{\sigma^i}(X^{p^i})\right).$$

Recall that we write $E_f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i X^i \in R[[X]]$. From Lemma A.2 we have π-adic lower bounds on the b_i.

Lemma A.4. *One has*

$$\psi(\pi_{(m,d_m)}^{i/d_m} X^i) = \sum_k \left(\sigma^{-1}(b_{pk-i})\pi_{(m,d_m)}^{(i-k)/d_m}\right) \pi_{(m,d_m)}^{k/d_m} X^k$$

Proof. This is an easy computation. □

Theorem A.5 (Dwork's trace formula)**.** *For every positive integer k one has*

$$S^*(k,\pi) = \frac{1}{q^k - 1} \mathrm{Tr}_{B/R'}(\psi^{ak}).$$

Proof. For $g \in B$ one has

$$\psi^{ak}(g) = \psi_p^{ak}(g \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{ak-1} E_f^{\sigma^i}(X^{p^i})).$$

Write

$$\prod_{i=0}^{ak-1} E_f^{\sigma^i}(X^{p^i})) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_i X^i.$$

One then finds, similar to Lemma A.4,

$$\psi^{ak}(\pi_{(m,d_m)}^{j/\delta} X^j) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_{q^k i - j} \pi_{(m,d_m)}^{(j-i)/\delta} \cdot \pi_{(m,d_m)}^{i/\delta} X^i$$

Thus one has

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{B/R'}(\psi^{ak}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{(q^k-1)j}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^k}} x^i = \begin{cases} q^k - 1 & \text{if } (q^k-1)|i \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma A.3 then gives

$$(q^k-1)\mathrm{Tr}_{B/R'}(\psi^{ak}) = (q^k-1)\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{(q^k-1)j} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^k}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_j x^j$$
$$= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^*_{q^k}} \prod_{i=0}^{ak-1} E_f^{\sigma^i}(x^{p^i})) = S^*(k,\pi).$$

□

Corollary A.6 (Analytic trace formula)**.** *One has*

$$C^*(\pi, s) = \det(I - \psi^a s | B/R').$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem A.5 and the identity

$$\det(I - \psi^a s) = \exp\left(- \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Tr}(\psi^{ak}) \frac{s^k}{k} \right).$$

□

We can finally prove our Hodge bound, in two steps.

Lemma A.7. *The π-adic Newton polygon of* $\det(I - \psi s | B/R'_0) \in R'_0[[s]]$ *lies above the polygon given by*

$$(ak, \frac{a(p-1)k(k-1)}{2\delta}) \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Proof. Let $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_a \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ be a normal basis of $\mathbb{Q}_q$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (one can Teichmüller lift a normal basis of $\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p$ to achieve this). This gives us the following basis of B/R'_0:

$$\{\xi_j \pi_{(m,d_m)}^{i/d_m} X^i : \ j = 1, \ldots a, \ i = 0, 1, \ldots\}$$

One easily obtains from Lemma A.4 and Lemma A.2 that

$$\psi(\xi_j \pi_{(m,d_m)}^{i/d_m} X^i) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{a-1} c_{ilk} \xi_l \pi_{(m,d_m)}^{k/d_m} X^k.$$

with $c_{ilk} \in R'_0$ with $w(c_{ilk}) \geq \frac{(p-1)i}{\delta}$. This means, that if one orders the basis properly, that all entries in the first a columns of the matrix of ψ have valuation at least 0, then a columns with valuation at least $\frac{(p-1)}{\delta}$ etc.. This shows that the matrix is nuclear and it gives the desired bound. □

Proof of Proposition 5.1. We let σ act on $R'[[s]]$ by just acting on R'. One has

$$\prod_{\zeta \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_a} \det(I - \psi\zeta s | B/R'_0) = \det(I - \psi^a s^a | B/R'_0) = \prod_{i=0}^{a-1} \sigma^i \left(\det(I - \psi^a s^a | B/R')\right).$$

Note that the Newton polygon of $\det(I - \psi\zeta s|B/R'_0)$ does not depend on ζ (remark that we have to do computations in an extension of R' containing $\boldsymbol{\mu}_a$), and the Newton polygon of $\sigma^i\left(\det(I - \psi^a s^a|B/R')\right)$ does not depend on i. Hence the Newton polygon of $\det(I - \psi s|B/R'_0)$ coincides with the Newton polygon of $\det(I - \psi^a s^a|B/R')$. The first result then follows from Lemma A.7 and Corollary A.6. □

References

[1] E. Bombieri, On exponential sums in finite fields. In *Les Tendances Géom. en Algèbre et Théorie des Nombres*. Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1966, pp. 37–41.

[2] J. Bouw and M. Kosters, Computing norm residue symbols in polynomial time, in progress.

[3] J. Kramer-Miller, The monodromy of F-isocrystals with logarithmic decay. arXiv:1612.01164.

[4] C. Davis, D. Wan, and L. Xiao, Newton slopes for Artin-Schreier-Witt towers. *Math. Ann. 364*, 3-4 (2016), 1451–1468.

[5] M. Kosters, D. Wan, On the arithmetic of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extensions. arXiv:1607.00523.

[6] X. Li, The stable property of Newton slopes for general Witt towers. arXiv: 1511.04302

[7] C. Liu, and D. Wan, T-adic exponential sums over finite fields. *Algebra Number Theory*, 5 (2009), 489–509.

[8] R. Ren, D. Wan, L. Xiao, M. Yu, Slopes for higher rank Artin-Schreier-Witt towers. arXiv: 1605.02254.

[9] H.J. Zhu, L-functions of exponential sums over one-dimensional affinoids: Newton over Hodge. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 30 (2004), 1529–1550.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3875, USA
E-mail address: kosters@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, State University of New York at Buffalo, NY 14260-2900, USA
E-mail address: hjzhu@math.buffalo.edu